UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,374	02/21/2006	Katsuyuki Amano	126302	8824
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 12/31/200 RIDGE, PLC	8	126302 8824 EXAMINER ABRAHAM, AMJAD A ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1791	IINER
P.O. BOX 3208	350	ABRAHAM, AMJAD A		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/563,374	AMANO ET AL.	AMANO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	AMJAD ABRAHAM	1791				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION TO CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relation. But period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 04 January 2006					
	☐ This action is non-final.					
<i>i</i>		ers, prosecution as to the r	nerits is			
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the app	lication					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Withdrawn from consideration.					
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement					
o) Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	I Office Action or form PTO)-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A the priority documents have been I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National St	tage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	-948) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 10/563,374 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-10 and 12-19, drawn to method of manufacturing a window assembly.

Group II, claim(s) 11, drawn to a window assembly which includes a window pane which is attached to a window frame.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The technical feature which are common to both claims is the placement of a covering member along the peripheral edge of the window pane with the inclusion of a positioning member and/or a holding member which are layered with an adhesive (continuously) and connected to each other. This common technical feature is taught by the reference Weaver (USP No. 4,839,122) disclosing an injection molding process for forming a window gasket. See specifically, the window assembly (part # 10 in figure 4) with a covering member (part #'s 22 and 24 in figure 4), including a positioning member (part # 28 in figure 4), whereby an adhesive is applied continuously to attach the covering/positioning member to window (See primers part #'s 34 and 36). As a result, the subject matter of group 2 does not appear to be novel over Weaver and thus fails to be a common technical feature and hence there is lack of unity between groups I and II. Furthermore, the claims of group I all include a material flow connection feature (plus a method step for its removal) not needed in the claim of group II. Because of the reasons set forth above there is lack of unity between the groups of claims.

3. A telephone call was made to James Oliff on 12/2/2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

 Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

 All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,374

Page 4

Art Unit: 1791

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AMJAD ABRAHAM whose telephone number is (571)270-7058. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Phillip Tucker can be reached on (571) 272-1095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,374 Page 5

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AAA

/Philip C Tucker/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791